

FORESTHALL PARK

Parking & Road Safety

CONSULTATION

May 2023



Have your say on...

possible solutions to road traffic issues affecting

FORESTHALL PARK

A working group of Foresthall Park residents, Parish Councillors and District Councillors want to know what you think on proposals to address traffic problems on the development. Every Foresthall Park household can respond.

Not all proposals were supported by all group members, but we agreed all should be put forward for your views.

Please take part in the consultation: It is important that a high percentage of households respond whether you support or object to the proposals.

However, the more people who support them, the more likely we are to gain action from Essex Highways and the North Essex Parking Partnership which has the final say.

The Parish Council does not have the powers but will support residents' views in lobbying and negotiating.

HOW TO COMPLETE THIS FORM

- 1 Click the tickboxes on the next page to give your answers.
- 2 Make sure you save the form before emailing it to us at info@stanstedmountfitchet-pc.gov.uk
- 3 Please include your name and address when returning this questionnaire otherwise your feedback cannot be included in the consultation results.

ROAD SAFETY

PROPOSAL Apply for a 20mph speed limit to cover the whole of Foresthall Park. A “Twenty is Plenty” scheme just for Walson Way has been requested but even if approved by the Uttlesford Highways Panel, it is not legally enforceable.

Would you support an enforceable 20mph speed limit for the whole of Foresthall Park?

YES NO

PROPOSAL Request two pedestrian crossings on Walson Way:

1 Ask for the public right of way crossing on Walson Way, close to the Forest Hall Road junction, to be upgraded to a zebra crossing?

YES NO

2 Ask for the public right of way crossing on Walson Way, close to the play area, to be upgraded to a zebra

YES NO

PROPOSAL Ask Essex Highways to explore the benefits of a one-way system along Walson Way and back along Forest Hall Road with a traffic calming system on Walson Way?

The working group believes this may cut the number of vehicles coming face to face with each other as they drive around the estate.

YES NO

PROPOSAL Introducing red line (no parking at any time) restrictions on various roads and junctions.

This would improve safety for road users and pedestrians. Please indicate if you would support red lines on:

A Walson Way between numbers 86-92 — necessary to stop traffic taking a blind right-hand bend on the wrong side of the road on this bus route

YES NO

B Junction on Walson Way with Forest Hall Road up to the 40mph limit — to stop vehicles having to pass on the wrong side of the road

YES NO

C Forest Hall Road — from house No 1 Walson Way along towards the junction with Walson Way

YES NO

D Walson Way between numbers 12-16

YES NO

E Walson Way with Herrington Avenue

YES NO

F Walson Way – Reeve Road with an extra line opposite Reeve Road between numbers 12-14 Walson Way

YES NO

G Bentley Drive – Bayford Way

YES NO

H Bentley Drive – Felstead Crescent

YES NO

I Newell Road – Carter’s Drive with the line on Newell Road extended between numbers 14 and 16

YES NO

J Newell Road – Bayford Way

YES NO

K Livings Way — Reeve Road with an additional line between numbers 22 and 24

YES NO

L Bentley Drive from Felstead Crescent to Newell Road

YES NO

M Reeve Road — extend the yellow lines by 10m to ease traffic flow

YES NO

SAFER PARKING

PROPOSAL White line some pavements to allow cars to be part parked on the path whilst allowing pedestrians and those with buggies or wheelchairs to pass safely:

Bentley Drive – Bayford Way YES NO

Bentley Drive – Bayford Way – Newell Road YES NO

Carters Drive YES NO

PROPOSAL Create parking bays on the straight stretch of Walson Way (left hand side coming from Church Road). This would be dependent on both funding and the location of utility services.

YES NO

AIRPORT PARKING

Complaints about airport parking are frequent. Preventing the nuisance is difficult.

PROPOSAL Introduce a Residents’ Parking Scheme covering Foresthall Park

This is the only certain way on offer to eliminate airport parking, but comes with a direct financial cost to residents.

The scheme conditions are set by the North Essex Parking Partnership (NEPP) and negotiation unlikely. We have asked about imposing no parking for one hour a day but this was rejected as unworkable.

The scheme’s main elements are:

- Any resident with a permit could park in any legal space on Foresthall Park. There are no allocated spaces.
- Enforcement by the NEPP is likely to be for 4 hours per day between 10am to 2pm Monday — Friday. This should stop long-term parking.
- Permits based on current charges would be:

Annual Residents’ Permits — First Car - £70, Second Car - £120 and Third Car - £157.50

Visitor Permits — 10 x 24-hour permits - £11.50 / 6 x 6-hour permits - £6.00

Carers’ Permits — Annual - £30

There are concessions for those in receipt of the higher mobility component of DLA.

Please note that if you are away from your home, with your car, during the enforcement period, you would NOT need to buy a residents’ permit. Permits are also only required for spaces on the road (not driveways!).

For a scheme to proceed, it must be supported by a minimum of 75% of households.

Do you support applying for a Residents’ Parking Scheme?

YES NO

PROPOSAL Add more signage to discourage airport parking

With support from Manchester Airport Group, owners of Stansted Airport, signs discouraging airport parking have been erected, but more may be needed. These are not enforceable.

Do you support requesting large “No Airport Parking” signs on the main approaches to Foresthall Park and more smaller signs?

YES NO